

From: Christian Crowley
To: [Benjamin Simon](mailto:Benjamin.Simon@ios.doi.gov); [Christian Crowley](mailto:Christian.Crowley@ios.doi.gov)
Cc: [Ann Miller](mailto:Ann.Miller@ios.doi.gov); [Kristin Skrabis](mailto:Kristin.Skrabis@ios.doi.gov); [Adam Stern](mailto:Adam.Stern@ios.doi.gov)
Subject: RE: final drafts - formatting and location
Date: Tuesday, August 08, 2017 11:01:41 AM

Greetings,

I've pulled down the Intro Summary Overview document from Google Drive and saved a Word version on the O: drive in the "Final drafts" folder. I find it's easier to deal with tracked changes and comments in Word, so I'm cleaning up this copy for our potential use with the deliverable(s).

Bye for now,
Christian

From: Simon, Benjamin [mailto:benjamin_simon@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Sunday, August 06, 2017 1:06 PM
To: Christian Crowley
Cc: Ann Miller; Kristin Skrabis; Adam Stern
Subject: Re: final drafts - formatting and location

Hi,

We should leave this out unless it is explicitly mentioned in the materials that BLM or the FS provided to us.

Ben

On Fri, Aug 4, 2017 at 7:22 PM, Christian Crowley <christian_crowley@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
Greetings,

I forgot to ask at our last meeting whether we want to address R.S. 2477 claims for any of the monuments under review. This is a law from 1866 allowing public access to "roads" (broadly defined) as a right-of-way across Federal lands. It may not be an issue with any of the monuments on our list. I'm fine with leaving it out entirely if that's the case.

Bye for now,
Christian

From: Miller, Ann [mailto:ann_miller@ios.doi.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 03, 2017 10:22 AM
To: Skrabis, Kristin
Cc: Simon, Benjamin; Christian Crowley; Stern, Adam
Subject: Re: final drafts - formatting and location

Upper Missouri River Breaks has officially been "delisted": <https://www.doi.gov/pressreleases/secretary-zinke-recommends-no-modifications-upper-missouri-river-breaks-national>

I'll edit the paragraph in the Intro materials to reflect this.

On Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 4:06 PM, Miller, Ann <ann_miller@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
A version of Bears Ears is in the final drafts folder - I think I incorporated or at least in some way addressed all comments. I stripped out any comments that I incorporated and were no longer necessary but all comments are still in the "Bears Ears_8_2_17" doc in the drafts folder for Bears Ears (I'm trying to keep only the latest drafts in the final drafts folder). I kept comments in that might serve to provide direction for other reviews since BE is going to also be a template. I also added some text for consumer surplus/economic value estimates - subject to change, of course. Please take a look when you get a chance and provide any additional comments/suggestions and then hopefully we can declare Bears Ears "done" and start using it as a model for getting through the rest of the reviews.

Thanks!

Ann

On Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 9:45 AM, Miller, Ann <ann_miller@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
Thanks Kristin, picky is good!

(b) (5) DPP



On Wed, Aug 2, 2017 at 9:16 AM, Skrabis, Kristin <kristin_skrabis@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
Thanks, Ann. I just finished commenting on the final Bears Ears. Because it's controversial and the model for the rest of the reports, I made picky comments. If Ben has the time, I suggest he read it next for the decision points.

On Tue, Aug 1, 2017 at 5:19 PM, Miller, Ann <ann_miller@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
Hey everyone,

I created a folder called "Final drafts" in (b) (5) DPP with the thinking that this is where all the final drafts can go (self-explanatory) so we at least don't have a bunch of drafts to dig through. I was thinking that once a draft has had bureau comments and the decisions we've discussed incorporated, they can be saved in there. That will also help us keep track of which ones are "done" (or at least ready for internal review).

I saved Bears Ears in that folder - I think I've incorporated all of the formatting and text that we've agreed on. Could everybody please take a look and provide comments? When we've agreed that it's format is "final", we can at least use it as a template for formatting purposes. I'd appreciate comments on the summary box - I tried to integrate the various forms that the summary boxes had taken across a sample of reviews I looked at but suggestions are welcome.

Thanks!

Ann

--
Ann Miller
Office of Policy Analysis
U.S. Department of the Interior

1849 C St. NW
Washington, DC
p: 202.208.5004
ann_miller@ios.doi.gov

--
Ann Miller
Office of Policy Analysis
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C St. NW
Washington, DC
p: 202.208.5004
ann_miller@ios.doi.gov

--
Ann Miller
Office of Policy Analysis
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C St. NW
Washington, DC
p: 202.208.5004
ann_miller@ios.doi.gov

--
Ann Miller
Office of Policy Analysis
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C St. NW
Washington, DC
p: 202.208.5004
ann_miller@ios.doi.gov

--
Benjamin Simon, Ph.D., Chief DOI Economist
Office of Policy Analysis
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C St. NW
Washington DC
202 208 4916
benjamin_simon@ios.doi.gov